## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

CHARLES C. VAUGHN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	NO. 3:21-cv-00370
V.	)	JUDGE RICHARDSON
	)	
DICKSON COUNTY, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

## **ORDER**

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. No. 54), recommending that the Court dismiss this action without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), and recommending that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 44) be denied as moot. No Objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed.

The failure to object to a report and recommendation releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter. *Frias v. Frias*, No. 2:18-cv-00076, 2019 WL 549506, at \*2 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 12, 2019); *Hart v. Bee Property Mgmt.*, No. 18-cv-11851, 2019 WL 1242372, at \* 1 (E.D. Mich. March 18, 2019) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)). The district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, those aspects of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made. *Ashraf v. Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.*, 322 F. Supp. 3d 879, 881 (W.D. Tenn. 2018); *Benson v. Walden Security*, No. 3:18-cv-0010, 2018 WL 6322332, at \*3 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 4, 2018). The district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and rulings to which no specific objection is filed. *Id*.

Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and the file. The Report and Recommendation is adopted and approved. Accordingly, this action in its entirety is **DISMISSED** without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b), and Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED** as moot. The Clerk is directed to close the file. This Order shall constitute the final judgment in this case under Fed. R. Civ. P. 58.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Eli Richardson ELI RICHARDSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE